

Ula Rozman*, Anej Blažič**, Gabriela Kalčíková***



UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology



* University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Večna pot 113, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia, ula.rozman@fkkt.uni-lj.si ** National Institute of Chemistry, Hajdrihova 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia, anej.blazic@ki.si

*** University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Večna pot 113, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia, gabriela.kalcikova@fkkt.uni-lj.si

INTRODUCTION

Microplastics (plastic particles from 1 to 1000 µm) are currently one of the most widespread pollutants in the aquatic environment and can have serious direct and indirect effects on biota and the entire ecosystem (Garcés-Ordóñez et al., 2022). So far, the focus has mainly been on developing methods to prevent microplastics from entering the environment, and most of the methods researched have been for the removal of microplastics from wastewater. However, many microplastics enter the aquatic environment through many other non-point sources (e.g. runoff, wind deposition from construction sites and urban traffic, or environmental degradation of larger plastic pieces (Yang et al., 2023)), so methods that could be used for direct in situ removal of microplastics from the environment are urgently needed. Several studies have already confirmed the adhesion potential of aquatic macrophytes towards microplastics (reviewed in Kalčíková et al. (2023)), so phytoremediation could be one of the possible methods, which is also simple, cost-effective, and environmentally friendly.



METHODS

Aim: to invrstigate the adhesion mechanisms between LD-PE microplastics (Figure 1) and floating macrophyte *Lemna minor* in order to develop a possible phytoremdiation method for the *in situ* removal of microplastics from aquatic ecosystems.

- Determination of the number of adhered microplastics over time (after 24 h, 72 h, 120 h, and 168 h) under static conditions and with slow water movement.
- Determination of the number of adhered microplastics by different amount of biomass.
- Fitting experimental data with the adsorption isotherm models.
- Performing phytoremediation experiment under the controlled laboratory conditions (Figure 2).



DISCUSSION

The number of adhered microplastics by different amount of biomass



by mass of plant.

Adsorption isotherm models



Figure 5: Fitting experimental data to the Freundlich adsorption isotherm model.

Mechanisms of interaction



Figure 2:Procedure for the phytoremediation experiment.

RESULTS

The number of adhered microplastics over time

Table 1: The number of adhered microplastics per plant biomass after 24 h, 72 h, 120 h, and 168 h under the static regime and with water movement.

	Number of adhered microplastics per plant mass (particles/mg)	
Time (h)		
	Static regime	With water movement
MAX. <24	2.8 ± 1.1	3.7 ± 1.7
72	1.2 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 2.2
120	1.1 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.5
168	0.8 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.5



Figure 6: Possible mechanisms of interaction between microplastics and aquatic plants.

Phytoremediation experiment



Removal rate = 1 particle/(mg·day)

100% removal \rightarrow 53 days

Figure 7: Removal of microplastics with time during the phytoremediation experiment.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study show that microplastics adhere quickly to aquatic macrophytes. This is a promising result, and aquatic plants could be used for the actual removal of microplastics and could also serve as bioindicators for the detection of areas contaminated with microplastics (Rozman and Kalčíková, 2022). Nevertheless, research into the interactions between microplastics and aquatic plants is still at a very early stage. Therefore, these mechanisms need to be further investigated in real environments, as the interactions could be influenced by many environmental factors that cannot be taken into account in laboratory studies (e.g., strong weathering events, other pollutants, feeding on a contaminated biomass). In addition, for the successful development of a phytoremediation method, limitations regarding the invasiveness of the plants and the correct disposal of the contaminated plant biomass should be carefully considered.

Figure 3: LD-PE microplastics adhered to the root (A) and frond (B) of Lemna minor.

References:

Esiukova, E.E., Lobchuk, O.I., Volodina, A.A., Chubarenko, I.P. 2021 Marine macrophytes retain microplastics. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 171, 112738. Garcés-Ordóñez, O., Saldarriaga-Vélez, J.F., Espinosa-Díaz, L.F., Canals, M., Sánchez-Vidal, A., Thiel, M. 2022 A systematic review on microplastic pollution in water, sediments, and organisms from 50 coastal lagoons across the globe. Environ. Pollut. 315, 120366. Hartmann, N.B., Hüffer, T., Thompson, R.C., Hassellöv, M., Verschoor, A., Daugaard, A.E. et al. 2019 Are we speaking the same language? Recommendations for a definition and categorization framework for plastic debris. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 1039-1047. Kalčíková, G., Rozman, U., Polechónska, L. 2024 Interactions between microplastics and primary producers in aquatic ecosystems. In: Advances in Chemical Pollution, Environmental Management and Protection (G. Malafaia, ed.). Elsevier, London, UK, 91-121. Rozman, U., Blažič, A., Kalčíková, G. 2023 Phytoremediation: A promising approach to remove microplastics from the aquatic environment. Environ. Pollut. 338, 122690. Rozman, U., Kalčíková, G. 2022 The response of duckweed Lemna minor to microplastics and its potential use as a bioindicator of microplastic pollution. Plants. 11(21), 2953. Yang, M., Tian, X., Guo, Z., Chang, C., Li, J., Guo, Z., Li, H., Liu, R., Wang, R., Li, Q., Zou X. 2023 Wind erosion induced low-density microplastics migration at landscape scale in a semi-arid region of northern China. Sci. Total Environ. 871, 162068.

This work was partially financed by the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency (research programs P2-0191, the Plasti-C-Wetland project (J2-2491) (https://planterastics.fkkt.uni-lj.si/plasti-c-wetland) and PLAStouch (N2-0298) (https://planterastics.fkkt.uni-lj.si/plastouch/)). The authors acknowledge the support of the Centre for Research Infrastructure at the University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, which is part of the Network of Research and Infrastructural Centres UL (MRIC UL) and is financially supported by the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency (Infrastructure programme No. 10-0022).

Do you want to know more about our research?

